Joint Final Report
Events Decision Regulation 11.5

Version 3.0

to

Council

Wolfgang Mayrhofer (Chair Events Committee)
Glen Stanaway (Chair Equipment Committee)

Editorial Advisors
Aiko Saito (Vice-Chair Events Committee)
Matteo Plazzi (Vice-Chair Equipment Committee)
Shevaun Bruland (Working Group Leader Events Review Reg. 11.4)
Laura Marimon Giovannetti (Working Group Leader Events Decision Reg. 11.5)
World Sailing Staff (David Graham, Scott Dougal, Jaime Navarro, Michael Downing)

October 2026

Contextualization

The current slate of Events and their Equipment require critical assessment. They only partially contribute to major strategic points of reference such as World Sailing's Olympic Vision and IOC's Fit for the Future and to increasing broadcast attractivity and growing this part of the high-performance segment of sailing, the north stars of the Regulation 11. 5 Events decision process. Events and Equipment are by far not the only and arguably not even the most important pieces of the overall picture when one includes, e.g., Format, broadcast technology, social media appearance, or continuous storytelling. Yet, they are crucial. Change, therefore, is necessary while its extent and focus remain open to debate.

This Joint Final Report and its Supplementary Volume take a critical look at existing Events and Equipment and explore potential new ones. The Supplementary Volume offers an in-depth view of different aspects during various phases of the process of Events Decision Reg. 11.5; this report builds on the Supplementary Volume and contains Recommendations that call for different degrees of change while also offering continuity. Admittedly, none of these recommendations fully meets the legitimate but partly conflicting expectations of stakeholders within and outside World Sailing. Each strikes a different balance by prioritizing the underlying goals and criteria differently. Overall, however, each offers a distinct package that advances sailing and its position in the Olympic movement. Unsurprisingly, assessments of their respective contributions vary, as do levels of support, reflected in the voting results.

Executive Summary

Recommendation 1 (initially: WHITE) is put forward to vote in Council as Events Decision Reg. 11.5. It accepts the necessity of change and combines continuity with evolutionary change by

- replacing Men's and Women's Kite event with Wingfoil event and select new equipment,
- retaining the Mixed Dinghy event and equipment, and
- retaining the Mixed Multihull event and equipment while strongly suggesting substantial changes for its format and equipment.

Events Committee vote: approve: 11, reject: 1, abstain: 1
Equipment Committee vote: unanimously approved

Recommendation 11th Medal – Mixed Team Event advocates the development of a submission to the IOC for an 11th sailing medal that comprises a mixed team event as second medal opportunity with no additional athlete quota and adding overall value to the Olympic movement. It is put forward to Council for further support.
Events Committee vote: approve: 11, reject: 1, abstain: 1
No decision needed from the Equipment Committee at this stage.

1 Recommendations and Committee Decisions

In intensive collaboration the authors of this report discussed the Joint Working Group Report, the Update Report, and the Task Area Reports[1] with the Editorial Group. In different ways the following Recommendations dealt with in the Committees serve the two North Stars of the Events Decision Regulation 11.5, i.e., increasing broadcast attractivity and contributing to the global growth of the high-performance segment of sailing[2] They also meet the Level 1 and Level 2 criteria[3] in adequate form. The Recommendations are the result of substantial work on the various Events ('building blocks')[4], careful weighing of pros, cons, and trade-offs for each of the recommendations[5], and various additional explorations, robustness checks, and consultations with major stakeholders [6].

This section further details the Recommendations put to vote in the Committees and reports the votes' outcomes.

1.1 Recommendation WHITE

1.1.1 Events Configuration

Recommendation WHITE comprises the following Events recommendations:

- MX Dinghy: retain Event; retain Equipment
- MX Multihull: retain Event; retain Equipment*****
- Men's and Women's Kite: new Event; new Equipment
- New Event: Men's and Women's Wingfoil

*** Important**: Retaining Mixed Multihull both as Event and Equipment is conditional to format change and part of the equipment being supplied. The detailed commentary included in Part 3 Section 7.4.3 in the Supplementary Volume can be summarised as:

1. The format and presentation of the event must be substantially reformed to achieve its broadcast potential.
2. The cost of campaigning must be significantly reduced, at the minimum by using a pool of supplied foils controlled by the contracts between the class and/or manufacturer as well as other relevant stakeholders as deemed necessary.

For more detail on the Events and the conditions for retaining the Event and Equipment see the respective sections in Supplementary Volume Appendix 7.4, for details on the Equipment Criteria for the new event's equipment see Supplementary Volume Appendix 7.5.

1.1.2 Condensed SWOT-Assessment of Recommendation WHITE

Strengths

- Represents the lowest-disruption option, retaining two established Events and their Equipment and thereby protecting existing investments of athletes and MNAs into

[1] See also Supplementary Volume Parts 1, 2 and 3.
[2] See also Supplementary Volume Part 3, Section 3.
[3] See also Supplementary Volume Part 3, Section 7.3.
[4] See also Supplementary Volume Part 3, Section 7.4.
[5] See also Supplementary Volume Part 3, Section 5.
[6] See also Supplementary Volume Part 1.

pathways and expertise.
- Maintains a broad range of racing types and athlete profiles and brings a Wingfoil as new event with scope for expression elements, strengthening the Unique Selling Proposition (USP) of this Recommendation when considering the six Events not under review.
- Contributes to simplicity, and cost-effectiveness through Wingfoil.

Weaknesses
- Represents an incremental rather than transformational change to the Olympic programme and will therefore deliver a smaller increase in overall progress than other recommendations.
- Wingfoil formats, equipment and athlete pathways are relatively immature, particularly in relation to reliable racing in lighter winds.
- Retaining the Mixed Multihull with current equipment preserves existing concerns regarding concentration of competitiveness among better-resourced MNAs.
- The detail of how the recommendation to supply and manage components of the Nacra 17 is complex and implementation of this policy may prove impractical.

Opportunities
- Wingfoil can be developed around short-duration, close-to-shore racing incorporating different racing and expression elements, creating content that is visibly different from the remainder of the Olympic sailing programme.
- The relative continuity of this recommendation allows World Sailing, Classes, MNAs and athletes to concentrate capacity on format, presentation, digital technology, storytelling and the introduction of Wingfoil, rather than managing multiple new Events simultaneously.
- Equipment selection will be guided to reduce costs both for the organising committee (with supplied equipment and compactness of the fleet ashore as well as reduction of support vessels/coach boats allowance) and for MNAs and athletes with strict boundaries on technological advancements to allow a clear maximum limit of equipment cost.
- The format and presentation of the Mixed Multihull can be developed to maximise broadcast interest and results.

Threats
- Wingfoil may not be able to establish adequate light-wind reliability, international pathways and equipment maturity.
- The intended cost benefits of Wingfoil remain uncertain until equipment and provision model is determined, and the target wind range is matured.
- Continued growth in faster and more visually distinctive disciplines could make the retained Events of the programme appear increasingly traditional to athletes and audiences.
- Changing the format and presentation of the Mixed Multihull event requires a political decision at World Sailing which will depend on committee, class, athletes, and stakeholder support.

1.1.3 Committee Recommendation to Council

After conversations in both expert Committees, Recommendation WHITE was put to formal votes.

Events Committee Recommendation 1: Replace Kite with Wingfoil, retain MX Dinghy and MX Multihull

It is recommended to decide on the four Events under Review as follows.

Men's and Women's Kite
Change to a new Event*: *Men's and Women's Wingfoil (Freefly-Slalom).
Basic characteristics
* races on a multi-leg, usually high-speed downwind course combining jibes, tacks and sections of pumping or surfing on the hydrofoil without using the power of the wing.
* format is a series of multiple, short, seeded races, eliminating competitors until finals determine the podium.
The Events Committee shall assess the basic characteristics of this Event and, if necessary, further specify and/or modify them.

Mixed Dinghy
Retain Event
The Events Committee shall investigate and discuss format changes that can further improve global appeal of this Event.

Mixed Multihull
Retain Event
Basic characteristics
* Format and presentation of the Event must be substantially reformed and materially different to the current situation to achieve its broadcast potential and improve global appeal.
* Examples include but are not limited to a shorter tournament style format, quick course racing, with clear progression each day.
The Events Committee shall assess the basic characteristics of this Event and, if necessary, further specify and/or modify them.

Equipment Committee Recommendation 1: Equipment criteria for Wingfoil, retain MX Dinghy and MX Multihull equipment

It is recommended to decide on the equipment of the four Events under Review as follows.

Men's and Women's Wingfoil (Freefly-Slalom)
New Equipment
The criteria below for each of the 'new equipment' is crafted to ensure that World Sailing can arrive at the best equipment for the new event. The criteria are objective and measurable so that the Equipment Committee can use them as standards against which it can make a make a judgment and recommendation to Council on what equipment should be selected for the Olympic Sailing competition under Regulation 11.6.

Any equipment being assessed against these criteria may meet only certain criteria but not all. And what is not met might vary between equipment being evaluated. It will be the Equipment Committee's job to evaluate all equipment and make a recommendation based on the extent to which equipment aligns with the targets and is likely to achieve the sport's goals.

Where the measurable target is described as 'minimum' or 'maximum', the smaller or greater is the most favourable measure respectively. Where the measurable target is described as a number, that is the ideal target, or if 'yes', then that feature is desired.

Element	Target
Designed to withstand jumping/expression disciplines	Yes
Cost to purchase whole	Minimum
Cost of new foil package	Minimum
Cost of new wing	Minimum
Double skin wing	No
Reported breakages per year	Minimum
Windows on wings	Yes
Wing window size	Maximum
Identification on wings	Yes
Wing identification size	Maximum
Minimum wind foiling	Minimum
Maximum wind	Maximum
Equipment items to achieve minimum and maximum wind ranges	Minimum

Optimal crew weight	W55kg M70kg
Minimum board volume	tbc
One design	Yes
Men's / Women's design configurations (e.g. foil designed for women and foil designed for men)	Yes
Units sold	Maximum
Countries sailed	Maximum
Existing competition structure	Yes
Existing class association	Yes
World Sailing Class status	Yes
Life Cycle Assessment	Yes
Show country insignia and colours	Yes
Can be launched off a beach, slipway or pontoon	Yes
Coach boat needed for launch or safety	No

Mixed Dinghy
Retain Equipment
The equipment is largely accepted and proven and is not subject to any considerations of change.

Mixed Multihull
Retain Equipment
Basic characteristics
The Equipment Committee shall investigate and discuss equipment changes with the Class that can significantly reduce the cost of campaigning, at the minimum by using a pool of supplied foils controlled by the contracts between the class and/or manufacturer as well as other relevant stakeholders as deemed necessary.

Events Committee Decision: 11 approve, 1 reject, 1 abstain.

Equipment Committee Decision: approved unanimously

1.2 Recommendation BLUE A

1.2.1 Events Configuration

Recommendation BLUE A comprises the following Events recommendations:

- Mixed Dinghy: retain Event; retain Equipment
- Mixed Multihull: new Event; new Equipment
- Men's and Women's Kite: new Event; new Equipment
- New Event: Men's and Women's Wingfoil
- New Event: Mixed Team Tournament**

** **Important**: Inclusion of the Mixed Team Tournament assumes satisfactory World Sailing financial due diligence of the proposed funding arrangements and agreement of an appropriate contractual and governance framework with the relevant delivery entity

For more detail on the Event and the conditions for retaining the event and equipment see the respective sections in Supplementary Volume Appendix 7.4. For details on the Equipment Criteria for the new event's equipment see Supplementary Volume Appendix 7.5.

1.2.2 Condensed SWOT-Assessment of Recommendation BLUE A

Strengths

- Contributes to accessibility, simplicity, and cost-effectiveness through Wingfoil and Mixed Team Tournament.
- Mixed Team Tournament constitutes a two-way route between Olympic campaigning of female sailors and the professional keelboat circuits.
- Mixed Team Tournament creates a link between grassroots sailing, national selection, keelboat sailing, professional circuits, and Olympic participation.

Weaknesses

- Removal of the Mixed Multihull loses a proven and seasoned Event after more than a decade of development, while the potential benefits of both Wingfoil and the Mixed Team Tournament remain to be fully validated.
- Substantial departure from the existing Olympic programme since Wingfoil and the Mixed Team Tournament remain relatively immature as Olympic Events.
- The eight-person team of Mixed Team Tournament requires materially greater athlete coordination and quota than any current Olympic Sailing Event.
- The suggested equipment for Mixed Team Tournament currently requires 8-10 sailors onboard weighing a maximum of 730kg. This signals heavy crew weight to reach the necessary righting moment. While indicated reduction of crew weight in parallel with adjusted smaller sail plan might partially help, this is little, if any progress in terms of creating Events suitable for light weight athletes.

Opportunities

- Combining the national-team proposition with innovative Wingfoil formats substantially broadens the range of stories, athletes and competition styles presented at the Olympic Sailing Competition.
- The qualification pathway for the Mixed Team Tournament has established a multi-year national-team narrative that can be further exploited, extending Olympic sailing engagement beyond the Olympic Games themselves and creating opportunities for developing MNAs.
- The supplied-equipment and funding model for the Mixed Team Tournament significantly reduces equipment-ownership barriers and provides access for a large number of nations willing to participate in the funded circuit that cannot sustain conventional Olympic-class campaigns.

Threats

- The intended cost benefits of Wingfoil remain uncertain until equipment and provision model is determined, and the target wind range is matured.
- Without effective controls, MNAs may establish their own eight-athlete keelboat programmes and acquire equipment for extensive training, creating significant cost differences and undermining the intended accessibility of the Event.
- Mixed Team Tournament depends on a contract being agreed to codify the relationship which will depend on good governance with WS involvement, availability and long-term sustainability of the proposed third-party funding, as well as the still to be tested supplied-equipment model and Olympic-delivery arrangements.
- Due to the individual MNAs internal qualification systems, athletes for the rest of the slate’s Events might not be selected once a National Team is selected for the Mixed Team Tournament. This is a threat to the broadcasting storytelling if the athletes onboard a particular National Team are changed throughout the regattas.

1.2.3 Committee Decision

After intensive debate in the expert Committees that emphasized the weaknesses and threats of the Mixed Team Tournament, Recommendation BLUE A was put to a formal vote in the Events Committee to be passed on as Recommendation to Council.

Recommendation: Replace Kite with Wingfoil, retain MX Dinghy, replace MX Multihull with MX Team Tournament

It is recommended to decide on the four Events under Review as follows.

Men's and Women's Kite
Change to a new Event*: *Men's and Women's Wingfoil (Freefly-Slalom).
Basic characteristics
* races on a multi-leg, usually high speed downwind course combining jibes, tacks and sections of pumping or surfing on the hydrofoil without using the power of the wing.
* format is a series of multiple, short, seeded races, eliminating competitors until finals determine the podium.
The Format Working Group of the Events Committee shall assess the basic characteristics of this Event and, if necessary, further specify and/or modify them. The results of their deliberations shall be discussed in the Events Committee.

Mixed Dinghy
Retain Event
The Format Working Group of the Events Committee shall investigate format changes that can further improve global appeal of this Event. The results of their deliberations shall be discussed in the Events Committee.

Mixed Multihull
Change to a new Event: Mixed Team Tournament
Basic characteristics
* Qualified Teams divided into two fleets with short (20 min.) races, top two teams from each fleet qualify for the Medal Final
* Medal Final would consist of a single four-boat race, with Gold, Silver and Bronze medals awarded according to finishing order
The Format Working Group of the Events Committee shall assess the basic characteristics of this Event and, if necessary, further specify and/or modify them. The results of their deliberations shall be discussed in the Events Committee.

Events Committee Decision: 4 approve, 8 reject, 1 abstain.

Equipment Committee Decision: no decision needed due to result in Events Committee

1.3 Recommendation BLUE B

1.3.1 Events Configuration

Recommendation BLUE B comprises the following Events recommendations:

- Mixed Dinghy: new Event; new Equipment
- Mixed Multihull: retain Event; retain Equipment*****
- Men's and Women's Kite: new Event; new Equipment
- New Event: Men's and Women's Wingfoil

- New Event: Mixed Team Tournament

For more detail on the Events and the conditions for retaining the event and equipment see the respective sections in Supplementary Volume Appendix 7.4. For details on the Equipment Criteria for the new event's equipment see Supplementary Volume Appendix 7.5.

1.3.2 Condensed SWOT-Assessment of Recommendation BLUE B

Strengths

- Contributes to accessibility, simplicity, and cost-effectiveness through Wingfoil and Mixed Team Tournament.
- Mixed Team Tournament constitutes a two-way route between Olympic campaigning of female sailors and the professional keelboat circuits.
- Mixed Team Tournament creates another link between grassroots sailing, national selection, keelboat sailing, professional circuits, and Olympic participation.

Weaknesses

- Removal of the Mixed Dinghy loses a proven pathway and known lighter-athlete Event that covers a broad range of conditions while the optimal athlete profiles for Wingfoil and the Mixed Team Tournament remain to be fully validated.
- Retaining the Mixed Multihull with current equipment preserves existing concerns regarding concentration of competitiveness among better-resourced MNAs.
- Substantial departure from the existing Olympic programme since Wingfoil and the Mixed Team Tournament are relatively immature as an Olympic Event concept.
- An eight-person team requires materially greater athlete coordination and quota than any current Olympic Sailing Event.
- The suggested equipment for Mixed Team Tournament currently requires 8-10 sailors onboard weighing a maximum of 730kg. This signals heavy crew weight to reach the necessary righting moment. While indicated reduction of crew weight in parallel with adjusted smaller sail plan might partially help, this is little, if any progress in terms of creating Events suitable for light weight athletes.
- The detail of how the recommendation to supply and manage components of the Nacra 17 is complex and implementation of this policy may prove impractical.

Opportunities

- Combining the national-team proposition with innovative Wingfoil formats substantially broadens the range of stories, athletes and competition styles presented at the Olympic Sailing Competition.
- The qualification pathway for the Mixed Team Tournament has established a multi-year national-team narrative that can be further exploited, extending Olympic sailing engagement beyond the Olympic Games themselves and creating opportunities for developing MNAs.
- The supplied-equipment and funding model for the Mixed Team Tournament significantly reduces equipment-ownership barriers and provides access for a large number of nations willing to participate in the funded circuit that cannot sustain conventional Olympic-class campaigns.
- The format and presentation of the Mixed Multihull can be developed to maximise broadcast interest and results.

Threats

- The intended cost benefits of Wingfoil remain uncertain until equipment and provision model is determined, and the target wind range is matured.

- Without effective controls, MNAs may establish their own eight-athlete keelboat programmes and acquire equipment for extensive training, creating significant cost differences and undermining the intended accessibility of the Event.
- Mixed Team Tournament depends on a contract being agreed to codify the relationship which will depend on good governance with WS involvement, availability and long-term sustainability of the proposed third-party funding, as well as the still to be tested supplied-equipment model and Olympic-delivery arrangements.
- Due to individual MNAs internal qualification systems, athletes for the rest of the slate's Events might not be chosen once a National Team is selected for the Mixed Team Tournament. This weakens the broadcasting storytelling if the athletes onboard a particular National Team are changed throughout the regattas.
- Changing the format and presentation of the Mixed Multihull event requires a political decision at World Sailing which will depend on committee, class, athletes, and other stakeholder support.

1.3.3 Committee Decision

After intensive debate in the expert Committees that emphasized the weaknesses and threats of the Mixed Team Tournament, Recommendation BLUE B was put to a formal vote in the Events Committee to be passed on as Recommendation to Council.

Recommendation: Replace Kite with Wingfoil, retain MX Multihull, replace MX Dinghy with MX Team Tournament

It is recommended to decide on the four Events under Review as follows.

Men's and Women's Kite
Change to a new Event*: *Men's and Women's Wingfoil (Freefly-Slalom).
Basic characteristics
* races on a multi-leg, usually high speed downwind course combining jibes, tacks and sections of pumping or surfing on the hydrofoil without using the power of the wing.
* format is a series of multiple, short, seeded races, eliminating competitors until finals determine the podium.
The Format Working Group of the Events Committee shall assess the basic characteristics of this Event and, if necessary, further specify and/or modify them. The results of their deliberations shall be discussed in the Events Committee.

Mixed Multihull
Retain Event
Basic characteristics
* Format and presentation of the Event must be substantially reformed and materially different to the current situation to achieve its broadcast potential and improve global appeal.
* Examples include but are not limited to a shorter tournament style format, quick course racing, with clear progression each day.
The Format Working Group of the Events Committee shall assess the basic characteristics of this Event and, if necessary, further specify and/or modify them. The results of their deliberations shall be discussed in the Events Committee.

Mixed Dinghy
Change to a new Event: Mixed Team Tournament
Basic characteristics
* Qualified Teams divided into two fleets with short (20 min.) races, top two teams from each fleet

qualify for the Medal Final
* Medal Final would consist of a single four-boat race, with Gold, Silver and Bronze medals awarded according to finishing order
The Format Working Group of the Events Committee shall assess the basic characteristics of this Event and, if necessary, further specify and/or modify them. The results of their deliberations shall be discussed in the Events Committee.

Events Committee Decision: 1 approve, 11 reject, 1 abstain.

Equipment Committee Decision: no decision needed due to result in Events Committee

1.4 Recommendation 11th Medal – Mixed Team Racing

1.4.1 Events Configuration

The Committees considered Mixed Team Racing as an additional 11th Olympic medal Event at the Brisbane 2032 Olympic Sailing Competition. It is positioned to be contested as second medal option in mixed 2x2 format with two boats and four athletes per nation, one female and one male helm. Teams must be drawn from athletes already qualified at the Games (38 of the 65 nations had four or more Athletes in the Olympic Sailing Competition in Paris 2024). While this Event likely requires extra days after the other sailing Events resulting in additional cost to the Organizing Committee of the Olympic Games, supplied, simple, and non-foiling boats and a compacted schedule contain cost.[7]

1.4.2 Condensed SWOT-Assessment of 11th Medal – Mixed Team Racing

Strengths

- Offers potential appeal for both online and live audiences through continuous racing and action, quick turnarounds, and clear visual identification of competing teams (e.g., nation or colour-coded boats and sails).
- Offers a clear distinction from all current fleet racing events whilst using existing field of play close to spectators on land and officiating resources.
- Compact format responds to shorter attention spans and simple scoring logic easy to explain to non-sailing audiences, both enabling short-form digital and social media distribution.

Weaknesses

- Requires four athletes per team which raises universality concerns for MNAs that already struggle to field a single entry in existing events.
- Potential hesitation by MNAs given the Event's current standing as a pathway/participation tool rather than an established elite competition in most MNAs.
- In many MNAs, team racing is used today primarily as a training and youth-development tool.

Opportunities

- Appetite for short-format, easily-explained events with a clear winner/loser dynamic

[7] For additional information see Supplementary Volume Part 1 Section 1.1 and Part 3 Section 7.4.6.

aligns.
- Low-cost, one-design, factory-supplied equipment model avoids the escalating campaign costs and, paired with development funding, could support universality among smaller MNAs over time.
- Equipment can be designed from the outset to favour lighter-weight athletes, addressing a recognised gap in the current ten-Event slate.

Threats
- Digital and social media performance data specific to senior-level team racing remain thin and building a dedicated senior broadcast and content track record will take time.
- Circumvention of intended supplied-equipment, cost-control model.
- Hesitancy by athletes in a second-medal situation with public limelight to compete outside their primary area of expertise.

1.4.3 Committee Recommendation to Council

Both expert Committees discussed the Recommendation 11th Medal – Mixed Team Racing. In continuation of this and given the fast-changing Olympic eco-system and the various options for a team event, it was regarded in the Events Committee as premature to limit this potential Event to Mixed Team *Racing* as outlined above. While there was broad support for the initiative, it was suggested to make the recommendation broader at this stage – Team *Event* – and potentially include other forms of team events. This would create a broader scope for future Events Committee considerations when further developing this Recommendation.

This was put to a formal vote following the votes on Recommendation WHITE and Recommendations BLUE.

Recommendation 11th Medal – Mixed Team Event

A mixed team sailing variant be developed as an event to be considered as an eleventh (11) medal proposal by the respective World Sailing bodies.

Events Committee Decision: 10 approve, 1 reject, 1 abstain.

Equipment Committee Decision: no decision needed due to result in Events Committee

2 Event Contributions to IOC's Fit for the Future

The Events put forward in these Recommendations together with the Events not under review form an overall slate where each Event has the potential to make unique contributions to Sailing at the Olympic Sailing Competition (Figure 1).

Event	*Primary role*
M & W Windsurfing	Universality (athlete participation) and global appeal through its different race types (slalom & course)
M & W Dinghy	Universality (athlete participation) and global appeal through its direct representation of grassroots dinghy sailing
M & W Skiff	Global appeal through its fast and colourful presentation, and

	dynamic and athletic boat handling
Mixed Dinghy	Global appeal through its regional representation and reliability
Mixed Multihull	Global appeal through its unique imagery, simple format (newly to be introduced), and its presentation similar to major sailing professional leagues
M & W Wingfoil	Global appeal through its unique racing type (Freefly Slalom) and simplicity to sail (recreationally)
Mixed Team Tournament	Global appeal through its unique imagery (keelboats) and clear connection to grassroots keelboat sailing
Mixed Team Racing	Global appeal through its unique racing type (team racing, don't come last) and unique imagery (teamwork between boats)

Figure 1: Unique Event contributions – overall slate

It is important to note that beyond the Events outlined above, other initially suggested Events such as the Foiling Monohull also have great potential to be further developed and contribute in the time to come to the Olympic appearance of Sailing.

The realization of these potentials depend also – and some might even argue: more – on progress in other areas beyond Event and their Equipment. In particular, this refers to format, technology, broadcast and storytelling which are indispensable, too, for advancing our sport at the Olympic Sailing Competition and our standing in the Olympic movement.